## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/807,579

Applicant(s)

Rommelaere et al

V Summary Examiner

Mosher

Art Unit 1648

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Mosher	
(2) Judy Perridowski For Violet Kung (via Voice Mail)	
Date of Interview 4/3/03	
Type: a)   Telephonic b)   Video Conference c)   Personal [copy is given to 1]   applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) 🗓 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim (a) diagraphed. None	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Cotmore et al EMBO 13:4145-52, 1994	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached	d. g)□ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
·	mailed 3/7/03. MM determined that Cotmore was not mailed
with action because it was cited in applicant's IDS, and P	TO-892 was in error by failure to check the box indicating that
the reference was not mailed.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MI already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
·	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required
	Examinor o dignature, it requires